UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/586,240  | 07/17/2006                          | Noriko Sugimoto      | 2006_0986A          | 1802             |  |
|   | 7590 03/14/201<br>, LIND & PONACK L | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W.<br>Suite 400 East<br>Washington, DC 20005-1503 |                                     |                      | COPPOLA, JACOB C    |                  |  |
|   |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                                     |                      | 3621                |                  |  |
|   |                                     |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |                                     | NOTIFICATION DATE    | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |  |
|   |                                     |                      | 03/14/2011          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/586,240       | SUGIMOTO ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit        |  |  |
| JACOB C. COPPOLA | 3621            |  |  |

|  | JACOB C. COPPOLA   | 3621  |   |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request            |
| periods:  a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESERVED FOR A MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESERVED.   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejection   | on.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>tension and the corresponding amount of<br>thortened statutory period for reply origi<br>than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>se action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE:  (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);<br>w);<br>ter form for appeal by materially red  | E below);   |   |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>   | §112 and §103 rejections.  |   | ,   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 2-7 and 13.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-12 and 14-16.   |  | l be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fail:<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                         | s to provide a<br>).                      |
| <ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ul>  |  | •   |   |
| <ul> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>07 Dece</u>  | <u>mber 2010</u>  |   |
| /ANDREW J. FISCHER/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621  | /JACOB C. COPPOLA/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3621  |   |   |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The double patenting rejection would remain upon appeal. Otherwise, this application is in condition for allowance. To overcome the DP rejection, Applicants must file a terminal disclaimer or take other appropriate action.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants are reminded that some of the withdrawn claims may be eligible for rejoinder. The Examiner recommends amending these eligible claims in Applicants' next response to change dependancy from cancelled claim 1. Also, some of these claims may have the same 112, 2nd ¶ issues for their use of "when." This also can be corrected in Applicants' next response. Claims not eligible for rejoinder (e.g., claim 15) must be cancelled.